

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

10 GEORGE GERAINE EDWARD,  
11 Plaintiff(s),

12 vs.

13 DAVID HASSLEHOFF,  
14 Defendant(s).  
15

Case No. 2:17-cv-02267-JCM-NJK

**Report and Recommendation**

16 Plaintiff brings this case *pro se* and is seeking to appear *in forma pauperis* pursuant to 28 U.S.C.  
17 § 1915. Federal courts have the authority to dismiss a case if the action is “frivolous or malicious,” fails  
18 to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune  
19 from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). A complaint, or portion thereof, should be dismissed for failure  
20 to state a claim upon which relief may be granted “if it appears beyond a doubt that the plaintiff can prove  
21 no set of facts in support of his claims that would entitle him to relief.” *Buckey v. Los Angeles*, 968 F.2d  
22 791, 794 (9th Cir. 1992). A complaint may be dismissed as frivolous if it is premised on a nonexistent legal  
23 interest or delusional factual scenario. *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 327-28 (1989). Moreover, “a  
24 finding of factual frivolousness is appropriate when the facts alleged rise to the level of the irrational or the  
25 wholly incredible, whether or not there are judicially noticeable facts available to contradict them.” *Denton*  
26 *v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25, 33 (1992). When a court dismisses a complaint under § 1915(e), the plaintiff  
27 should be given leave to amend the complaint with directions as to curing its deficiencies, unless it is clear  
28

1 from the face of the complaint that the deficiencies could not be cured by amendment. *See Cato v. United*  
2 *States*, 70 F.3d 1103, 1106 (9th Cir. 1995).

3 Plaintiff purports to bring claims against David Hasslehoff, alleging that he forced her to become  
4 homeless and raped her to fulfill a sexual fetish. *See, e.g.*, Docket No. 1-1 at 1.<sup>1</sup> In light of the frivolous  
5 and delusional nature of Plaintiff's claims, the undersigned **RECOMMENDS** that Plaintiff's complaint  
6 be **DISMISSED** with prejudice and that her application to proceed *in forma pauperis* be **DENIED** as moot.

7 Dated: August 31, 2017

8  
9   
NANCY J. KOPPE  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10  
11 **NOTICE**

12 Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2 any objection to this Report and Recommendation must be in writing  
13 and filed with the Clerk of the Court within (14) days after service of this Notice. The Supreme Court has  
14 held that the courts of appeal may determine that an appeal has been waived due to the failure to file  
15 objections within the specified time. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 142 (1985), *reh'g denied*, 474 U.S. 1111  
16 (1986). The Ninth Circuit has also held that (1) failure to file objections within the specified time and (2)  
17 failure to properly address and brief the objectionable issues waives the right to appeal the District Court's  
18 order and/or appeal factual issues from the order of the District Court. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153,  
19 1157 (9th Cir. 1991); *Britt v. Simi Valley United Sch. Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  

---

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff previously sued Mr. Hasslehoff in this Court based on allegations that he impregnated her without her consent. *See Edwards v. Hasslehoff*, Case No. 2:14-cv-2169-RFB-PAL (D. Nev.). In that case, United States Magistrate Judge Peggy A. Leen recommended the complaint be dismissed, *inter alia*, "because [Plaintiff's] claims are fantastic and delusional." *Id.*, Docket No. 2 at 3. Plaintiff then voluntarily dismissed her case. *Id.*, Docket No. 5.